

Application No. 10/539,501
March 26, 2008

REMARKS

In the Office Action, the Examiner issued a requirement based upon alleged "lack of unity" under PCT Rule 13.1. In the restriction, the Examiner divided the subject matter of the claims into the following groups: Group I (Claims 15 – 18 and 20 – 25), Group II (Claims 19 and 20 – 25), and Group III (Claim 29).


Applicants hereby elect Group I (Claims 15 – 18 and 20 – 25). While Applicants respectfully disagree that restriction in this case is required under PCT Rule 13.1, this election is made without traverse in order to more expeditiously advance prosecution of this case.

In the event this response is not timely filed, Applicants hereby petition for the appropriate extension of time and request that the fee for the extension along with any other fees which may be due with respect to this paper be charged to our Deposit Account No. 12-2355.

Respectfully submitted,

LUEDEKA, NEELY & GRAHAM, P.C.

By:



J. David Gonce

Registration No. 47,601

Date: March 26, 2008
P.O. Box 1871
Knoxville, Tennessee 37901
(865) 546-4305